Ī	Case 2:20-cv-01213-KJM-KJN Docume	ent 3 Filed 06/23/20 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARNELL CARD,	No. 2:20-cv-1213-KJM-KJN (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S IFP
13	v.	REQUEST WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC,	(ECF No. 2)
15	Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	
17	Presently pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (authorizing the commencement of an action "without	
19	prepayment of fees or security" by a person that is unable to pay such fees). (ECF No. 2.) The	
20	statute states that "[n]otwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been	
21	paid, the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that (A) the allegation	
22	of poverty is untrue; or (B) the action [] (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim on	
23	which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune	
24	from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).	
25	Here, plaintiff filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis, indicated he is not	
26	currently incarcerated, nor is he currently employed. Plaintiff stated he has no cash on hand, has	
27	This matter was referred to the undersigned pursuant to E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(21).	
28		
		1

Case 2:20-cv-01213-KJM-KJN Document 3 Filed 06/23/20 Page 2 of 3

no owned property or assets, and has no dependents who rely on his support. Thus, on its face, the affidavit satisfies the conditions to allege poverty.

However, as part of the application process, the court is obliged to review a complaint for frivolousness, lack of any factual or legal basis, or immunity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Thus, the court read plaintiff's complaint, which is a four-claim suit against BMW concerning the warranties associated with plaintiff's purchase of a used BMW. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff prays for, among other things, a refund of the full contract price of his vehicle, as well as "replacement of the subject vehicle with new vehicles." (Id. at 18.) However, plaintiff's IFP affidavit states he owns no "real estate, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, **automobiles**, or other valuable property." (ECF No. 2 at 2, emphasis added.) Thus, it appears plaintiff has submitted contradictory assertions to the court—on the ownership of a vehicle, and the IFP affidavit appears false on its face. This calls into question the remainder of his IFP affidavit.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) directs the court to dismiss a case if ever it is determined "the allegation of poverty is untrue." The Ninth Circuit has long held that "it is proper and indeed essential for the supporting affidavits to state the facts as to affiant's poverty with some particularity, definiteness and certainty." <u>Jefferson v. United States</u>, 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960). By filing an affidavit of poverty with the court, an affiant "exposes himself to the pains of perjury in a case of bad faith." <u>Id.</u> at 725. Courts in this circuit have inferred a lack of good faith on the part of any affiant where it is show he or she has made untrue allegations of fact or false statements with intent to deceive the court. <u>See Vega v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.</u>, 654 F. Supp. 2d 1104, 1121 (E.D. Cal. 2009). Plaintiff's apparent false statement in his IFP application could provide such grounds here.

However, the court also recognizes that plaintiff is acting pro se, and grants him the benefit of the doubt. It could be that plaintiff misunderstands the purpose of the form, or that he overlooked certain text therein. Thus, the court declines to recommend dismissal at this time. Instead, the court denies his application to proceed IFP without prejudice, and provides him with the opportunity to refile. Should he choose to do so, his refiled affidavit should contain more detail about his monthly expenses, including whether he receives any public assistance or other

Case 2:20-cv-01213-KJM-KJN Document 3 Filed 06/23/20 Page 3 of 3 1 help from family or friends. No section shall be left blank, and if the form asks for plaintiff to 2 explain his answer, he must do so. This will assist the court in determining whether plaintiff 3 meets the conditions to proceed IFP. Alternatively, plaintiff may elect to pay the filing fee, 4 forego refiling an IFP application, and proceed with his case. Presently, a filing fee of \$400.00 is 5 required to commence a civil action in this court (upon request, the undersigned would consider a 6 payment plan should plaintiff wish to pay the fee but not be able to afford the entire amount in 7 one payment). 8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 9 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE; 10 11 2. Within 21 days of this order, plaintiff shall either: 12 a. Refile a complete IFP affidavit with the court, or 13 b. Pay the applicable filing fee; 14 3. Plaintiff is informed that a failure to timely file the IFP affidavit or pay the filing fee 15 (or timely request an extension of time to do so), may result in dismissal of the action 16 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b); and 17 4. The Clerk of the Court shall enclose a blank IFP affidavit (non-prisoner) along with 18 this order when serving on plaintiff. 19 Dated: June 23, 2020 20 21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE card.1213 22 23 24 25

26

27

28